

**TO:**

Robyn Martelly
Human Rights Officer
Nova Scotia Human Rights Commission Intake Appeals
Email: HRCintakeappeals@novascotia.ca

February 12, 2025**REGARDING: Appeal re M. Ocean file #24-1149**

Dear Robyn,

Please accept this as further evidence in support of my Appeal of the NS Human Rights Commission's Decision as per their letter emailed to me on February 11, 2025.

Firstly, the NSHRC letter's focus was in regards to only one of the persons I had complained about, who happened to be a lawyer, and so I was then directed to the Barrister's Society. However, **my main complaint concerns the action/statement provided by a member of the general public— Christine Scott**, by way of sworn affidavit that I have include at the bottom of this letter. The malicious stance that Ms. Scott takes against me is more than obvious, and in particular, note the following excerpt from page 2 of her Affidavit:

14. May was adamant about a number of her beliefs. She talked often of having invented the 1st human language, and about a Chimera theory.

Ms. Scott's statements above are put forward in a widely misleading manner — it is a form of “hate propaganda” designed to threaten and intimidate me by attempting to unduly influence the Justice LouAnn Chiasson, who is the trial judge in this civil matter where Ms. Scott is a witness for the Respondent. It is obvious, that even though science has long proven the existence of “Natural Human Chimera” via DNA research is widely unheard of and ignored despite thousands of Medical Journals from Genetic Experts that have come forward since the first DNA finding in 1953 (see July 11, 1953 British Medical Journal article found at <https://www.bmj.com/content/2/4827/81>). This Genetic discovery, has still to reach most of the public, and the reason why it hasn't, is due to the “yuck factor” imposed by various segments of society ie: opposition of the far right who have long held that same-sex conjugal relations are

“unnatural” and so an abomination. Even having “chimera” in the name of this naturally occurring phenomena, does not help. I believe that knowledge of this new genetic research will help most individuals in all walks of society to grow in understanding and so promote a healthier and more cohesive society.

For this reason, **The NS Human Rights Commission refusal to take on my case, is a violation that serves to promote hatred against the LGBTQ+ community as well as others outside this community who are Natural Human Chimera’s.**

Ms. Scott and her lesbian partner (now spouse), Barbara Sawatsky, who had known me for a number of years (claiming to have been my close friends) had supported me during an Appeal Case where the issue of my claims of Natural Occurring Human Chimerism (genetics) had been used by the Respondent back then (the Economical Mutual Insurance Company of Canada—“Economical”) who brought forward a Court Order requiring that I undergo psychiatric evaluation to determine whether or not I was mentally competent — a requirement to being a self represented party in a Legal Action, that in my case, was to deal not only with injuries relating to a vehicle accident in 2000, but to the negligence of my own insurer, Economical, for not having procured a timely accident investigation, for having held me at fault and forcing me onto Facility Insurance where my insurance was increased to over \$10,000.00/year from \$2,000.00/year.

Christine Scott and Barbara Sawatsky had even signed my online petition in 2009 in relation to the case (I still have this evidence that can be brought forward for the purpose of this Appeal if required). As well, this story was covered by journalist Bruce Wark of The Coast, that Christine Scott and Barbara Sawatsky was also aware of, and in his article, Mr. Wark had also made it perfectly clear my stance around the issue of Natural Human Chimera’s (see 1st article at: <https://www.thecoast.ca/news-opinion/may-ocean-versus-goliath-1393063>), note from the article:


NEWS + OPINION » CITY NOVEMBER 12, 2009

May Ocean versus Goliath

By Bruce Wark

Get more stories like this delivered to your inbox by signing up for *The Coast Daily* newsletter.

Sign me up!



C **The Coast**
NEWS + OPINION
FOOD + DRINK
EVENTS
ARTS + MUSIC
BEST OF HALIFAX
MERCH
THE COAST DAILY

Ocean says the heightened awareness or hyper-vigilance that is characteristic of PTSD led her to make two major scientific discoveries about where language came from and how modern human beings evolved from perfectly conjoined twins. But when she submitted her writings outlining her theories to the courts as proof that she suffers from PTSD, her insurance company used them as evidence that she suffers from a mental disorder.

So from the obvious perspective, there is no excuse that Ms. Scott's intent was to prejudice my case. If for some reason this is not the case, then she needs to account for why it was brought up in her Affidavit, in the first place. It should also be noted that the Judge presiding over my 2006 Legal Action, was the then Associate Chief Justice Deborah K. Smith, who is today is Chief Justice.

Ms. Scott is more than aware that "Natural Human Chimeras" is not a theory, but a proven genetic fact, she is gambling on Justice LouAnne Chiasson being swayed to think otherwise if not for the ignorance on the subject out there, but for the fact that confirmation bias will have her side with her boss— Chief Justice Deborah K. Smith. I of course am hoping that CJ Deborah K. Smith and Justice LouAnn Chiasson have since become educated to the fact, however, I dare not let my guard down in this matter.

Secondly, The NS Human Rights Commission letter to me yesterday, states the following:

Your submissions have been reviewed and based on the information provided, you have not demonstrated that the treatment experienced is based on a protected characteristic.

Please note the following that I provided to you as per my complaint in answer to #6. Protected Characteristics and Prohibited Areas, where I claim to have been discriminated against:

- A. Genetic Characteristics;
- B. Gender Expression;
- C. Sexual Orientation;
- D. Gender Identity;
- E. Sex;
- F. Being Harassed;
- G. Being denied a service

These are the most pertinent and obvious areas that I have been discriminated against. I can only assume that perhaps the NS Human Rights Commission needs to be given proof,... which I find hard to believe, however if that is the case, note below, links to articles that provide both Genetic Research and input from members of the LGBT+ community on the subject of Natural Human Chimera:

1. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3684380/#:~:text=>
Excerpt: A hermaphrodite chimera is a variant of a tetragametic chimera where a female embryo is merged with a male embryo, and the resultant chimera will have both male and female specific markers in their body. To a greater or lesser degree, they will also possess ambiguous genitalia.
2. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4987404/>
3. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32565253/>
4. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1887219/>
5. <https://www.scq.ubc.ca/the-truth-about-chimeras/>
6. <https://cuttingthegordianknot.wordpress.com/2015/07/30/homosexuality-and-chimerism-rethinking-our-dna/>

7. <https://brianhanley.medium.com/many-transgender-and-gay-people-are-dual-sex-chimeras-e042c2a0e8dd>
8. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1769721220302895>

I hope this letter will suffice. If you require further evidence, please let me know. I will also be sending more evidence in the days that follow.

The next 6 pages following this letter, are the Affidavit of Christine Scott.

Kind Regards,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'May Ocean', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

May Ocean
mmmocean@gmail.com

Form 39.08
2022

No. SFHPSA 127675

Supreme Court of Nova Scotia

Between:

May Monique Mary Ocean

Applicant

and

Cathy Elizabeth Bennett

Respondent

**Affidavit of Christine Margaret Scott
sworn on March 3, 2024**

I make oath and give evidence as follows:

1. I am Christine Scott, a friend of the Respondent, Cathy Bennett ("Cathy").
2. I have personal knowledge of the evidence sworn to in this affidavit except where otherwise stated to be based on information and belief.
3. I state, in this affidavit, the source of any information that is not based on my own personal knowledge, and I state my belief of the source.
4. I first met Cathy towards the end of 2003 when she joined the Prospect Rd. and District fire department as a volunteer. I was her training officer in early 2004.
5. When I met Cathy, she was separated from her partner, May Ocean ("May").
6. The first time I was invited as a friend to dinner at Cathy's home in Prospect Village, May was not living there.
7. At some point, I believe in 2004, they got back together.
8. My partner, Barb Sawatsky and I became good friends with Cathy. We began spending time with May as well after they got back together.
9. I would say that we cared about and loved Cathy and tolerated May for Cathy's sake. May was very difficult to get along with, but I always tried to be respectful toward her and inclusive.

10. We spent a lot of time together over the years. We would go over for dinner regularly and they would come to our house. We would go kayaking together and on camping trips.
11. May was a very dominant personality and presence. She dominated most conversations. She was very opinionated and would not let an argument go. It had to be her way and she had to be right. She was a loud talker and would talk over others. If it meant something to her, she would get right in your face. She was not considerate of the feelings of others especially when she thought her opinion was right.
12. I was generally the designated driver, so would be the one not drinking when the 4 of us were together and was able to observe her escalated behaviour regularly while I was sober.
13. My partner Barb is a strong personality so they would have arguments sometimes.
14. May was adamant about a number of her beliefs. She talked often of having invented the 1st human language, and about a Chimera theory.
15. May was very focused for years on her civil suit/car accident, which began with the accident in 2000 before we got to know her through Cathy. The civil suit was often the topic of conversation.
16. I understood from May that her pewter business declined after 9/11 in 2001 and the impact on the tourist market. When we met them, Cathy was primarily the one working. Sometimes they would pack up the van and go to trade shows in the other provinces with May's pewter, and May would make the comfort hearts for a charity. But the civil suit and May's personal research took up most of her time.
17. Both Barb and I were working in the fire department at the time of May's accident. May wanted to subpoena Barb as a witness as she was the fire chief. I was the dispatcher on scene so had the documents. I told Cathy that the documents would not help May as she refused medical treatment on scene and suggested she not subpoena them.
18. Part of the ongoing conversation for years was around that accident and the fact that she never took anything from the settlement. May wanted to prove that she was right and 100% not at fault for the accident.
19. Cathy, in contrast to May, is a quiet, calm and docile person. I have never seen Cathy raise her voice or yell in all the time I have known her. I am not sure she can yell to be honest.


20. I would describe Cathy as the peacekeeper. She was always trying to keep May calm and calm arguments down without engaging in the discussion really.
21. I observed Cathy to cater to May's behaviour and wishes.
22. In July 2010 we went on a camping trip together to Maine.
23. A friend of Cathy and May's, who also became a friend of ours named Jia Tsu, was giving her car to her daughter in Boston. Barb and I agreed to drop the car in Boston and Cathy and May came with us in the truck and we were going to drive back together.
24. At first things went well. We set up camp in Baxter State Park. At one point I needed to make a call to a friend who was going through something. The signal in the park was bad. Barb and I borrowed the truck to drive to a place with a good signal. Something happened between Cathy and May while we were gone.
25. When we got back, I could hear Cathy and May arguing in the tent. May was upset we had taken the truck and said something about how I had not let her pick up firewood. I don't really know why she was so angry.
26. Cathy told Barb and I that she was going to have to leave to drive May home to Nova Scotia but would then come back to pick us up in Maine. May insisted on going home. By the time they left that night, Cathy was just going to take May to the Bangor bus station and come back for us.
27. I heard them both come back some time in the morning before daylight.
28. When we got up the next morning May was sitting on a rock and wouldn't look at or talk to Barb or I.
29. Cathy said May wanted to go home and she would have to go with her. Barb and I told Cathy to leave us at a local hotel and we would find our own way home. I did not want to cause more problems between Cathy and May and did not want to sit in a car with May. They dropped us at the hotel. Cathy was very apologetic and upset about having to leave us there, she was crying.
30. This was the beginning of a change in the relationship between us overall and for a period after this we did not get together. Barb and I got married in 2010 and invited Cathy, but not May. But gradually after that, and again for Cathy's sake, we began spending time together as we had before, dinners and kayaking etc. as a group.
31. On one occasion, around New Year's Eve 2012/2013 (I cannot recall if it was actually just before or after January 1st), Barb and I were at their house for dinner. Cathy's son Gillman was also there. He was not living there at the time but had come to visit. When he came to visit he stayed in the apartment which

was sort of a separate part of the house on Indian Point Rd.

32. We were all having dinner in the dining room- which was also the sunroom.
33. May was arguing. It became a heated discussion mostly between her and Gillman. I do not remember exactly what it was about, but Gillman had spoken up against May's opinion and May became angry.
34. I would describe Gillman as similar to Cathy in demeanor, but also, he would stand up for his beliefs if he disagreed with May and get into verbal discussions about it. He and May often disagreed. Gillman is very intelligent and educated but May would often insist she knew more about a topic Gillman was educated about.
35. Gillman was sitting across the table from May and becoming visibly upset. He did not yell or scream or anything like that. But he appeared to be holding it in, so much so that he snapped the wine glass he was holding (the stem from the top) in his hand. He then got up and left and went back to the apartment and shortly after that he left the house.
36. This was the only time I observed Gillman that upset, and I saw him on many different occasions over the years. I never observed him to be violent either verbally or physically to Cathy or May or anyone else.
37. At that point Cathy calmed things down and we moved to the living room which was two steps up from the sunroom, and I got up to go to the bathroom upstairs at a moment when everything seemed fine.
38. As I was coming back down the stairs, I could hear May really yelling. When I walked into the living room May was standing over Barb loudly yelling at her, with her finger pointed in Barb's face, and spitting.
39. Cathy was trying to calm her down and get her away from Barb saying "come on May, calm down, please sit down etc." Cathy did not touch May at all but managed to convince May to sit down in her chair.
40. Cathy was standing in front of May's chair and May lifted her right foot and kicked Cathy very hard in the stomach. Cathy fell to the floor and slid on her bum/back across the room.
41. I yelled to May "don't you ever touch Cathy again that is not acceptable," and felt I had to leave at that point with Barb. We tried very hard to get Cathy to come with us. I did not feel Cathy was safe.

42. As we were trying to convince Cathy to come May snickered and said "Oh, Cathy's safe with me. She knows how to handle me."
43. I felt we had no choice but to leave. We did not go to their house for dinner again after that and the relationship declined over the years, while we maintained contact with Cathy.
44. I have always said that when the going gets tough, Cathy gets going. Cathy always worked a lot but would work longer hours especially when things were bad with May, just keeping busy and burying herself in work. That was her escape. I would ask Cathy why she didn't leave May and Cathy would say it was just easier to stay with her, or not really talk about it.
45. I do remember one other time they separated for a period. I do not remember the exact date, but it was between their separation when I met Cathy in 2003/2004 and their final separation in 2021. It would have been before 2009 when Barb and I left the fire department. For a period May moved into the apartment at Stoney Beach Rd. Members of the fire department helped move the heavy things.
46. On January 2, 2021, I got a phone call from Cathy. Barb and I were together and put in on speaker phone. Cathy said, "I am calling because May wanted me to call you". Cathy asked us if we remembered the night we had had dinner and Gillman had broken the wine glass, at which point May dominated the conversation. Cathy did not get to speak directly to either of us again, but it was obvious that she was in the room with May on speaker phone. We could hear her in the background saying to May that her recollections of that evening were not correct. May kept telling Cathy to stop talking and let her speak
47. May asked Barb and I to confirm that that night that Gillman broke the wine glass, it was Gillman that instigated the entire argument. I replied that it was not how it happened. I was pussy footing a bit as it had been a long time since we'd spoken to them. But Barb said that "Actually May, that's the night you got in my face and screamed and kicked Cathy down to the floor and across the floor in the living room." May got very angry and became louder and said she had never abused Cathy and how dare she say that. On speaker phone then I said, "Yeah you did, you kicked her in the stomach and made her fall to the floor and she slid across the floor on her backside." May began yelling more loudly and then hung up.
48. Barb and I discussed at length whether we should call 911. We weren't sure of the situation. We did understand from Cathy that the relationship had deteriorated, and she had been mostly living separately on the property from May for some time. We did not end up calling 911.
49. Cathy called us an hour later or so and said she'd left the house and was at her sister Jo-Anne's. She said she was going to stay there for the night and had called the RCMP. I understand they have been separated since that date.

SWORN to before me on this 3rd day
of March, 2024, in
the Province of Nova Scotia.


Jennifer K. Reid
A Barrister of the Supreme Court of
Nova Scotia

} 
Christine Scott